

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/961,186

Applicant(s)

NUMAKO, NORIO

Examiner

Arthur A Smith

Art Unit

2851

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arthur A Smith.(3) Bill Lyddane.(2) Clark Martin.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2003 .

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Pentax120SW .

Claim(s) discussed: 1-12 .

Identification of prior art discussed: Koyama (USPN 6028714) .


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant has explained more in detail the electrical operation of the camera in relation to the switching of the sub lens optical system. The exhibit was shown to further demonstrate the operation of the switching. The Examiner has agreed to review the pending response in light of the Applicant's explanation. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Rodney Fuller
Primary Examiner



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required